

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/663,163	Applicant(s) BENNETT ET AL.
	Examiner JENNIFER TO	Art Unit 2195
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____	
(1) <u>JENNIFER TO.</u>	(3) _____.	
(2) <u>THOMAS LANE.</u>	(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>21 July 2011</u>	Time: _____	

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:
Potential restrictions for the currently amended claims.

Claims discussed:
1,5-9,12-17,19,22-26,30,33-35,37-39,41,43-46,50,53,56

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In the interest of compact prosecution, examiner had discussed with applicant the potential restriction for the amendment claims and proposed allowable subject matter for the elected group of claims. During the interview, examiner presents applicant with an oral restriction:

Group I, claims 1-8, 30, 33-34 and 50, which corresponding to the drawing (i.e. figs. 9-12), draws to the concept of utilizing a behavior predictor to optimize the resource, which belong to class 718/104;

Group II, claims 9, 12-17, 35, 37-39 and 56, which corresponding to the drawing (i.e. figs. 2-4), draws to the concept of notifying a processor of the type of the transition to activate the VMCS, which belong to class 718/1; and

Group III, claims 19, 22-26, 41-46, which corresponding to the drawing (i.e. figs. 5-8), draws to a concept of setting up the VMCS based on the type of the transition, which belong to class 711/6.

Applicant had elected group I, claims 1-8, 30, 33-34 and 50, and canceled the non-elected groups. Follow the election of the oral restriction, applicant agreed to amend the claims in accordance to examiner's amendment by incorporating the allowable subject matter into the independent claims of the elected group I to expedite the case to allowance.